Item # 14, 15 and 16 AGENDA DATE: 09/02/15 SUPPLEMENTAL # 1

From:D. MuirheadTo:Angie Garcia: Michelle WilsonSubject:City Council 09/02/2015 comments #14 - #16Date:Monday, August 31, 2015 10:41:31 PM

Dear Morgan Hill City Council,

Comments for the Public Record submitted by Doug Muirhead, a resident of Morgan Hill, for: Morgan Hill City Council Meeting September 2, 2015 Item #14: Police Facility UPS+Doors Item #15: El Toro Fire Station Kitchen Remodel Item #16: Funding for state and local transportation infrastructure Thank you for your consideration, Doug Muirhead, Morgan Hill Item #14: Police Facility UPS+Doors ----The August 11, 2015 failure of the Police Facility Uninterruptable Power Supply (UPS) and the associated inoperable secured doors raises questions concerning 1) asset management and equipment monitoring 2) disaster drills ---1) asset management and equipment monitoring The failed UPS was purchased in 2004. The new unit will have at least a ten year life span. Do you have an asset management plan? What steps should have been taken as the old unit approached its end of life? How much time elapsed between the failure of the old unit and the new unit became operational? What was the state of power protection during that time? The new unit has internal VRLA batteries with an estimated runtime of only 14-15 min @ 10kW. What provides power after that? The new unit has RS485/232 Communication Ports and SNMP remote monitoring. Does your asset management plan include monitoring of UPS? ---2) disaster drills Do you not do disaster drills? If you do, they seem inadequate if the failure of the door control system was undetected when power is lost. -----+ Item #15: El Toro Fire Station Kitchen Remodel Staff is asking the Council to rescind the contract for the El Toro Fire Station Kitchen Remodel due to lack of response by the selected contractor. What is troubling is that the June 17, 2015, staff report to award

What is troubling is that the June 17, 2015, staff report to award the contract contains no indication that staff performed any due diligence. Most contract staff reports contain statements that references were checked and that staff interviewed the bidder and found them to be responsible. But for this project, staff said only that the bidder had several years of experience in construction and staff recommended award of the contract to the bidder.

As with other contracts where staff later determines that performance is, in their view, unacceptable, we are told nothing about any corrective measures on the City side, be they training or management oversight.

Missing from your list of WHEREAS reasons to provide new, sustainable funding for state and local transportation infrastructure is user vehicle repair costs (which could be viewed as a hidden tax). This was one of two issues (the second being the PMI cost curve) highlighted at the Assembly hearing on the "Basics of Transportation Funding" on July 6, 2015 by Jennifer Whiting (California League of Cities) and Kiana Buss (California State Association of Counties). Instead of paying a mechanic to fix the vehicle, why not pay that money to fix the roads instead?

-----+