DRAFT RDCS Competition Objectives/Criteria Points

Objective / Criteria	Max Points	Max Percent	Available Points	Percent	Funds Contributed	Tangible Off-Site Contribution	Location	On-Site Project Features
1. Schools	17	8.5%	22	10%	10	5	7	0
1-A: School Fund Contribution	1/	0.5%	5	2%	5		,	U
1-A: School Fund Contribution 1-B: Proximity to Schools			5	2%	5		5	
1-C: Proximity to Schools with Capacity			2	1%			2	
1-D: Student Transportation Improvements			10	5%	5	5		
ט-ב. Student Transportation Improvements			10	3%	3	3		
2. Location	22	11%	27	12%	0	0	27	0
2-A: Central Core			10	5%			10	
2-B: Infill Corridors			5	2%			5	
2-C: Adjacent to Development			3	1%			3	
2-D: Proximity to Daily Needs			3	1%			3	
2-E: Proximity to Police and Fire Service			3	1%			3	
2-F: Areas with Public Utility Capacity			3	1%			3	
3. Affordable Housing	24	12%	24	11%	12	0	0	12
3-A: Affordable Housing Fund Contribution			24	11%	12			
3-B: Development of Affordable Units			24	11%				12
4. Housing Diversity	22	11%	22	10%	0	0	0	22
4-A: Second Units			4	2%				4
4-B: Diversity of Housing Types			6	3%				6
4-C: Variation in Housing Size			6	3%				6
4-D: Small Units			6	3%				6
5. Parks and Open Space	30	15%	33	15%	12	9	0	12
5-A: Park Fund Contribution	30	15/6	3	1%	3		0	12
5-B: Excess Park Land			5	2%	3			5
5-C: Agriculture Conservation or Open Space Ea	camant		18	8%		9		3
5-D: Agriculture Preservation or Open Space Eu		ution	18	8%	9	3		
5-E: On-Site Recreational Amenities	ilu Contino	ution	1δ	2%	9			Λ
5-F: Public Gathering Places			3	1%				3
6. Environmental Protection	22	11%	24	11%	0	0	0	24
6-A: Energy Efficiency			4	2%				4
6-B: On-Site Renewable Energy			4	2%				4
6-C: Indoor Water Use			2	1%				2
6-D: Outdoor Water Use			6	3%				6
6-E: Sustainable Site and Building Design			8	4%				8
7. Transportation	15	7.5%	19	9%	5	8.5	0	5.5
7-A: Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	_		6	3%		3	_	3
7-B: Transit Improvements			3	1%		1.5		1.5
7-C: Off-Site Roadway Improvements or Fund C	ontribution	n	8	4%	4	4		
7-D: Transportation Demand Management			2	1%	1			1
8. Municipal Infrastructure	15	7.5%	16	7%	4	12	0	0
8-A: Water Infrastructure			4	2%		4		
8-B: Wastewater Infrastructure			4	2%		4		
8-C: Storm Water Infrastructure			4	2%		4		
8-D: Infrastructure and Services Fund Contribut	ion		4	2%	4			
9. Project Quality	33	16.5%	33	15%	0	0	0	33
9-A: Connections to Adjacent Property			4	2%				4
9-B: Internal Connections			4	2%				4
9-C: Open Space			6	3%				6
9-D: Public Realm			4	2%				4
9-E: Perimeter Orientation			4	2%				4
9-F: Neighborhood Context (Attached Products)		5	2%				5
9-G: Public Art			3	1%				3
9-H: Project Excellence			3	1%				3
Total Points Available	200	100%	220	100%	43	34.5	34	108.5
	-00	_00/0		_30,0	-			
Percent					20%	16%	15%	49%

Summary Table										
	Available	Funds			Tangible Off-Site		On-Site Project			
Objective / Criteria	Points	Percent	Contributed	Percent	Contribution	Percent	Location	Percent	Features	Percent
1. Schools	22	10%	10	45%	5	23%	7	32%	0	0%
2. Location	27	12%	0	0%	0	0%	27	100%	0	0%
3. Affordable Housing	24	11%	12	50%	0	0%	0	0%	12	50%
4. Housing Diversity	22	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22	100%
5. Parks and Open Space	33	15%	12	36%	9	27%	0	0%	12	36%
6. Environmental Protection	24	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24	100%
7. Transportation	19	9%	5	26%	8.5	45%	0	0%	5.5	29%
8. Municipal Infrastructure	16	7%	4	25%	12	75%	0	0%	0	0%
9. Project Quality	33	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33	100%
Total Points Available	220	100%	43	20%	34.5	16%	34	15%	108.5	49%