

Parks and Recreation Commission Draft Bikeways, Trails, Parks and Recreation Master Plan Recommendations

1. PRC Recommends remove Policy Action B3-7 (Page 4-17):
B3-7. Reevaluate configuring Downtown streets to one lane of vehicle traffic and one buffered bike lane upon the completion of the development of the Hale Avenue Extension Project.
Motion Green, Second Seehaffer, Passes 4-2
Discussion: Supporting Commissioners felt the past trials showed the configuration did not work. Commissioners supporting this action wanted to be sure to be clear was that there recommendation is for 2 lanes of vehicle traffic each direction to continue through the downtown permanently. Opposing felt the wording provided the opportunity to reevaluate at a future date.
2. PRC Recommends that future plans for Monterey Road provide for a buffered bike land and not a protected bike lane. This action would downgrade project B-A1 (Page 4-27).
Motion Green, Second Haskell, Passes 6-0
Discussion: Commissioners felt this improvement was unrealistic both financially and logistically.
3. PRC Recommends adding policies and a project to the Master Plan, that support expanding recreation facilities adjacent to Silvera Lake, including specifically a fishing pond.
Motion van Kuelen, Second Wendt, Passes 5-0-1
Discussion: Mr. Rocke Garcia presented the idea of a public private partnership to build a park space with a fishing pond adjacent to the current Lake Silvera site and requested the Commission include this within the plan. The Commission's action addresses this specifically.
4. PRC Recommends amending Policy Action F1-3 (Page4-12) to include "financial support" to the Morgan Hill Historic Society.
Second Bullet of F1-3:
~~Consider providing~~**Provide** operational **financial** support to the Historical Society to hire limited-term staff for site management with the intent to identify and secure revenue for long-term fiscal sustainability.
Motion Haskell, Second van Keulen, Passes 6-0
Discussion: The Commission favored ensuring financial support is provided to the Historic Society to hire paid staff to support the site.
5. PRC Recommends Adding Policy Action P2-5: Strives to connect new and existing parks via bikeways, multi use trails, walkways, and vehicle routes, including adequate parking.
Motion Wendt, Second Seehaffer, Passes 6-0
6. PRC Recommends amending Policy P14-2 (Page 4-9) is indicated below.
*Incorporate low-impact, drought-tolerant plantings in new **and existing** parks to minimize irrigation requirements and enhance visual interest, **without reducing useable turf areas.***
Motion Wendt, Second Seehaffer, Passes 6-0

Discussion: The Commission wanted to ensure that existing parks were also looked at and that play fields would not be impacted by this policy action.

7. PRC Recommends amending S5-3 (Page 4-21) is indicated below.

*Design future parks **and renovate existing** parks for water conservation. Use native plants, apply xeriscaping, and reduce turf areas that are not needed as open play space to reduce water needs, balanced with long-term funding for maintenance needs.*

Motion Wendt, Second Haskell, Passes 6-0

8. Commission Direction on Park Classification wording:

1. Mini Park, 2. Neighborhood Park, 3. Small Community Park, 4. Large Community Park

9. See attached sheets for Project Priority Recommendations

10. PRC recommends that the City Council further explore options for funding both capital and operational expenses associated with parks and recreation. The PRC recommended that all options outlined in the Master Plan be included, but that voter approved funding districts is put at the bottom of the list.

Motion Seehaffer, Second Green, Passes 6-0

Discussion: The Commission realizes that to implement this plan both additional funding is necessary for operations and capital improvements. Commissioners were interested in Special Assessment District Opportunities, but less interested in general taxes on the community.

Motion to establish the following priority order: Haskell, Second Seehaffer, Passes 6-0

PRIORITY BIKEWAY PROJECTS					January 2017
Project (see map)	Project Description	Planning-Level Capital Cost	Operating Cost Estimate	Priority	
B-A1	Protected Buffered Bike Lanes: Monterey Rd from Tilton Ave to Butterfield Blvd/Watsonville Rd (Interim step Buffered Bike Lanes) (Excluding Downtown)	\$7,600,000 Need updated #	Limited	2	
B-A2	Protected Bike Lanes: E Main Ave from Monterey Rd to Hill Rd (Live Oak High School Access and Coyote Creek Connection) (Interim step Buffered Bike Lanes)	\$3,800,000	Limited	6	
B-B1	Buffered Bike Lanes: West Main Ave from Monterey Rd to Dewitt Ave	\$450,000	Limited	5	
B-B2	Buffered Bike Lanes: Cochrane Rd and Malaguerra Ave from Monterey Rd to Coyote Creek Trailhead	\$1,200,000	Limited	1	
B-B3	Buffered Bike Lanes: Santa Teresa Corridor, Hale Ave from Tilton Ave to W Main Ave	\$750,000	Limited	4	
B-B4	Buffered Bike Lanes: Burnett Rd from Monterey Rd to Coyote Creek (Sobrato School Access)	\$750,000	Limited	3	
B-C1	Bicycle Boulevard: Morning Star Dr/Peet Rd from Eagle View Dr to Cochrane Rd to Coyote Creek Trailhead	\$80,000	Limited	7	
B-C6	Bicycle Boulevard: Depot Street from E Main Ave to E Dunne Ave	\$60,000	Limited	8	
B-D1	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: Monterey Rd Downtown between E Main Ave and E Dunne Ave (Includes Lane Reduction)	\$900,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D2	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: E Main Ave and Butterfield Blvd	\$350,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D3	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: W Main Ave and Hale Ave	\$10,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D4	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: Cochrane Rd and Highway 101 (North & South ramps, Madrone Pkwy and Depaul Dr)	\$130,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D5	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: Monterey Rd and Cochrane Rd	\$350,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D6	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: Butterfield Blvd and Cochrane Rd	\$130,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	
B-D7	Multi-Modal Intersection Improvements: Monterey Rd and Tilton Ave/Burnett Ave	\$230,000	Limited	Prioritize with Associated Route	

Operating Cost Impact Definitions:
Positive - Revenue Generating or Expense Reducing
Break Even – No additional funds needed as operation will cover costs.
Limited - Limited operating expense (\$0-10,000 annually)
Moderate - Moderate operating expense (\$10,000-\$100,000 annually)
High - High Operating expense (\$100,000+ annually)

Motion to establish the following priority order: Haskell, Second Seehaffer, Passes 6-0

PRIORITY TRAIL PROJECTS					January 2017	
Project (see map)	Project Description	Planning-Level Capital Cost	Operating Cost Estimate	Priority		
T-A1	Multi-Use Trail West Little Llagas Creek Trail from Main Ave to Spring Ave	\$5,000,000	Moderate	4		
T-A2	Multi-Use Trail (Improvements): Pave and enhance access to the existing Madrone Channel Trail (Cochrane Rd to Tennant Ave)	\$1,000,000	Moderate	1		
T-A3	Multi-Use Trail: Downtown Hilltop Trail connecting Del Monte Ave to the water tower and Hale Ave	\$600,000	Limited	3		
T-A4 T-A6 T-A7	Multi-Use Trail: Madrone Channel Trail extension from Cochrane Rd to Burnett Ave Coyote Creek Trail – Malaguerra Staging Area to Burnett Staging Area Burnett Ave trail from Madrone Channel Trail to Coyote Creek Trail (County Parks & Water District Partnership Project)	\$600,000 (City Expense)	Limited	2		
T-A5	Multi-Use Trail: Live Oak High School to the Madrone Channel Trail	\$790,000	Limited	5		
T-A8	Multi-Use Trail: Silveira Park Trail around Atherton Way Hidden Pond with pedestrian bridges	\$1,970,000	Moderate	6		
T-B2	Natural Surface Trail: El Toro Trails (Parking Lot/Trail Head Acquisition and Trail Development)	\$500,000 (Acquisition of property almost completeA)	Moderate	7		

Operating Cost Impact Definitions:
Positive - Revenue Generating or Expense Reducing
Break Even – No additional funds needed as operation will cover costs.
Limited - Limited operating expense (\$0-10,000 annually)
Moderate - Moderate operating expense (\$10,000-\$100,000 annually)
High - High Operating expense (\$100,000+ annually)

Motion to establish the following priority order: Haskell, Second van Kuelen, Passes 6-0

PRIORITY PARKS PROJECTS				January 2017
Project	Project Description	Planning-Level Capital Cost	Operating Cost Estimate	Priority
P-B1	Downtown Parks: Develop Depot Park, Little Llagas Creek Park, Hilltop Park and Trail (Dedicated Grant Funds received and Out to Bid)	\$5,000,000	Moderate	Grant Funds in Progress
P-F2	Galvan Park Improvements: Improve handball courts and lighting (Dedicated Grant Funds received)	\$400,000	Limited	Grant Funds in Progress
P-A1	Inclusive Playground: Develop Inclusive Playground at Community Park	\$5,000,000	Moderate	1
P-C1	New Community Park: Land bank and develop new Community Park (approximately 10 acres east of Hwy 101)	\$2,000,000 (land) \$8,000,000 (construction)	High	2
P-D1	New Neighborhood Parks: Acquire land and develop two new parks (4-6 acres) -New park in northwest sector -New park west of Hwy 101, between Dunne Rd and Barrett Rd	\$6,190,000 (each)	Moderate	6
P-E1	New Recreational Open Space: Acquire new recreational open space on the East Side of El Toro Mountain	\$100,00 per acre	Limited	3
P-E2	New Recreational Open Space: Acquire new recreational open space in the foothills east of Hill Rd and north of E. Dunne Ave	\$100,000 per acre	Limited	7
P-F1	Nordstrom Park/School Improvements: Improve loop trail, play structures, shade, fitness equipment, others TBD per School District JUA	\$800,000	Limited	8
P-H1	New Restrooms: Nordstrom Park	\$350,000	Moderate	4
P-H2	New Restrooms: Paradise Park	\$350,000	Moderate	5
P-I1	Community Garden: Relocate Community Garden	\$200,000	Break Even	Grant Funds in Progress
P-J2	Off-Leash Dog Area: In Existing Park (Possible Location Stone Creek or New Park)	\$75,000	Limited	9
P-K1	Enhance Play Environments	\$350,000 per small area \$600,000 per large area	Limited	10
P-L1	Shade Structures	\$18,500 per structure	Limited	11
P-Q1	Tennis/Pickleball Courts: Add courts striped for both tennis and pickleball at the Community Park/Corporation yard	\$100,000 per 2 tennis courts/4 pickleball courts	Limited	12
P-Q2	Borello School Park (Peet Road Future School Site) (Joint Use with the School District)	\$1,000,000 - \$2,000,000	Limited - Moderate	13

Operating Cost Impact Definitions:
Positive - Revenue Generating or Expense Reducing
Break Even – No additional funds needed as operation will cover costs.
Limited - Limited operating expense (\$0-10,000 annually)
Moderate - Moderate operating expense (\$10,000-\$100,000 annually)
High - High Operating expense (\$100,000+ annually)

Motion to establish the following priority order: Haskell, Second van Kuelen, Passes 6-0

PRIORITY RECREATION PROJECTS			January 2017	
Project	Project Descriptions	Planning-Level Capital Cost	Operating Cost Estimate	Priority
R-A1	Centennial Recreation Center Expansion	\$2,000,000	Positive	1
R-J1	Expand Preschool at CCC	\$500,000	Positive	6
R-B1.a	Outdoor Sports Center Improvements: Phase 1: Sidewalk, lights, irrigation, and restrooms	\$1,500,000	Positive	3
R-B1.b	Outdoor Sports Center Improvements: Phase 2: Add restaurant	\$1,000,000	Positive	14
R-C1	Baseball /Softball Complex: Develop complex in the SE Quadrant	\$15,000,000	Break Even	7
R-E1.a	Villa Mira Monte Phase 1 Parking and Landscape Improvements	Ph. 1: \$2,000,000	Break Even	2
R-E1.b	Villa Mira Monte Phase 2 New Buildings and Further Site Improvements	Ph. 2: \$3,000,000	Break Even	5
R-D1	Sand Volleyball Complex	\$50,000 Feasibility Analysis \$1,000,000 Construction	Break Even	4
R-K1	Install Solar Panels at Recreation Facilities	\$2,000,000	Positive	8
R-F1	Expand Gymnasium Capacity: Construct gymnasium facility at school or City site	\$2,500,000	Moderate	10
R-G1	Expand Aquatic Facility Capacity: Re-activate splash pad at Community and Cultural Center	\$0	Limited	11
R-G2	Expand Aquatic Facility Capacity: Expand capacity at existing Aquatic Center	\$500,000	Break Even	12
R-H1	Expand Senior Service Center: Conduct a feasibility analysis to determine potential new programming and facilities at the Senior Center Expansion. Possible additional program area and/or adjacent adult day care facility	\$1,000,000 (Existing Land)	Break Even - Moderate	9
R-I1	New Community Center: Re-purpose the Friendly Inn as a Community Center and relocate Non-profit Center	\$2,000,000	High	13
P-G2	Increase Parking: Aquatic Center and Outdoor Sports Center	\$2,500,000	Moderate	Purchase approved and in progress

Operating Cost Impact Definitions:
Positive - Revenue Generating or Expense Reducing
Break Even – No additional funds needed as operation will cover costs.
Limited - Limited operating expense (\$0-10,000 annually)
Moderate - Moderate operating expense (\$10,000-\$100,000 annually)
High - High Operating expense (\$100,000+ annually)