

From: [Joe Mueller](#)
To: [John Baty](#)
Subject: Today's workshop
Date: Tuesday, January 17, 2017 8:46:57 AM
Importance: High

John,

Good morning,

I am on my way back but I will not get in until late Tuesday night.

Below are some comments on the Policies. I will try add during my trip.

1. Policy Scoring Errors:

I believe some language should be added clarifies when scoring errors are found when and how the correction will be made. If the correction will be made immediately then the development

Community needs to be on notice that will happen immediately. If changes are made to the project which result in the loss of points then the points need be made up in the same objective and same

Criteria if possible (not possible in many criteria). If not then in the same objective. If not another objective. Last resort would be the PC points. Preferable PC points would only be used for high scoring

Projects. In all cases make up points must be of higher value to the residents of the new community or higher benefit to the city preferable in the same way the lost points.

2. Policy Tentative Map:

I believe there is a minimum number of allocations that the project must receive before the TMap can be approved for the whole project. Please check the DA, I believe there is a clause in the DA that

Address how long the TMap is good for.

3. Policy Exercise of Allocations:

Please check Measure S. I believe Measure S says that the Allocations are exercised at the recording of the Final Map. I would prefer something after the Final Map. I do not remember if this is in

The voter approved that cannot be changed.

4. Policy Transfer of Allocations

I believe the old policy required the receiving project to demonstrate that by trading allocations they would complete sooner. Do we want to add similar language?

5. Policy On going allocations:

Current Policy says a project must have pulled at least have the first phase Allocations if they are not under construction. I believe we need more clarification for Measure S do to the possible allocation over multiple

Years. I believe there are 3 cases: Transition Projects from Measure C to Measure S, Projects

with Measure S multiple year allocations, and Projects without Measure S allocations.

Key questions:

For transition projects: I believe there are 4 projects, Devcon West is so large and early in the process that they should be able to adapt but should we have a minimum number in case of scoring issues due to the

First year of Measure S. For Toll Brothers, they have entitlements, we need to make sure the scoring criteria enables them to compete in Measure S. Again we may need to have a minimum. For the remaining 2

Projects, we need to make enough on going allocations available to complete the projects.

For Measure S Projects, with multiple year allocations, they should be able to complete for more allocations but not get on going allocations except for the first year after they receive their initial allocations.

For Measure S Projects, without multiple year allocations, they should be able to get on going allocations.

For the score spreadsheet, some pages did not format on a single page so it is a little hard to follow. I believe it does not have some of the changes made at the last workshop incorporated.

I am going to send this email now. I will try to added to it at a later stop.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks for the help.

Joe