	OBJECTIVE	MAXIMUM POINTS BY OBJECTIVE			On-Site (Design)			Location			Off-Site (Contributions)			TANDA RECOMMENDATIONS
		#2	#3	Tanda	Criteria	#3	Tanda	Criteria	#3	Tanda	Criteria	#3	Tanda	
1	Schools	17	12	12		0	0		9	9		8	8	
2	Location	22	28	22		0	0		28	22		0	0	Reduce max to 22
3	Affordable Housing	24	32	36		32	36		0	0		22	18	Increase max to 36
					BMR Units	32	36							Increase by 4
											Housing Fund	22	18	Reduce by 4
4	Housing Diversity	22	22	22		22	22		0	0		0	0	
5	Parks & Open Space	30	28	28		28	28		0	3		26	15	
					Proximity				0	3				Move to #2 Location
											Ag/OS fund	18	9	Either 5-C or 5-D but
											Ag/OS ease.			not both
					Buffer ease.		5							Separate from 5-C
6	Environmental	22	22	22		22	22		0	0		0	0	
7	Transportation	15	15	15		12	12		0	0		12	12	
8	Infrastructure	15	10	10		0	7		0	0		8	8	
					Com. Tech	0	7							New criteria = 7 pts
9	Project Quality	33	31	33		31	33		0	0		0	0	Increase max to 33
		200	200	200		147	160		37	34		76	61	

Key parameters of 200 point scoring system

All projects have the opportunity to score at least 160 points through on-site (design) and location criteria

Worst case scenario is 0 points through location criteria: therefore 160 points through on-site (design) criteria

All projects have opportunity to score at least 40 points through off-site (community benefit contributions) criteria

Test Assumptions:

Projects will revise their design to achieve maximum number of 2017 design points

Projects will contribute to community benefits at the same level of previous RDCS competitions